

ASEAN Movement in Radiology

Report from the 2023 annual meeting of thoracic radiologists in Thailand: The development and reviews of the standards, guidelines, and advice concerning diagnostic radiology of thoracic disorders in Thailand

Chayaporn Kaewsathorn, M.D.⁽¹⁾

Phakphoom Thiravit, M.D.⁽²⁾

Nitra Piyavisetpat, M.D.^{(3),(4)}

Chayanin Nitiwarangkul, M.D.⁽⁵⁾

Kanyarat Totanarungroj, M.D.⁽²⁾

Wiwatana Tanomkiat, M.D.⁽¹⁾

From ⁽¹⁾ Department of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla, Thailand,

⁽²⁾ Department of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand,

⁽³⁾ Department of Radiology, MedPark hospital, Bangkok, Thailand,

⁽⁴⁾ Department of Radiology, King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand,

⁽⁵⁾ Department of Diagnostic and Therapeutic Radiology, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand.

Address correspondence to W.T.(e-mail: twiwadha@hotmail.com)

Received 27 November 2023; accepted 2 December 2023
doi:10.46475/asean-jr.v24i3.890



The rising issues in practice related with thoracic diagnostic imaging in both private and public sectors were presented to a panel consisting of thoracic radiologist experts from all parts of Thailand in a meeting held on 20 October 2023 by the Royal College of Radiologists of Thailand (RCRT) in collaboration with the Foundation for Orphan and Rare Lung Disease (FORLD) at Asoke Conference Room on the fourth floor of Eastin Grand Hotel, Phaya Thai, Bangkok. The issues were grouped into 5 agendas: a post implementation review of the National HRCT Protocol, the safety and effectiveness of the diagnostic imaging, HRCT interpretation for suspected or at-risk or with known interstitial lung disease including the standard report of HRCT in patients with fibrotic lung disease, the recommended CT protocol for patients with thoracic malignancy in the public health universal coverage system, and a review of the guideline for determining fibrotic extent on HRCT.

Agenda 1: A post implementation review of the National HRCT Protocol and a question-and-answer session, presented and moderated by Chayaporn Kaewsathorn.

The conference revisited the proceedings of the annual meeting held in 2022, during which HRCT protocols from ten different institutes were compared with the National HRCT Protocol. A comprehensive summary of this meeting has been documented in The ASEAN Journal of Radiology under the title "Report from the 2022 Annual Meeting of Thoracic Radiologists in Thailand: National HRCT Protocol and its Applications in 10 Major Institutes" [1]. The conference facilitated discussions on the additional adjustments made to the protocol in each institute, detailed in Table 1. From an overarching standpoint, each hospital demonstrated an average radiation dose lower than 7 mSv, with the exception of Burapha University. Burapha University is currently in the process of acquiring a new machine, anticipated to be operational within the next 6 months.

Table 1. *The HRCT protocols practiced in ten institutes, in comparison with the National Protocol.*

	Position/ respiration	National protocol	Songklanagarind Hospital	Siriraj Hospital	Chulalongkorn Hospital	Ramathibodi Hospital
Scan coverage	Supine/Inspiration	Whole chest	Whole chest	Whole chest	Whole chest	Whole chest
	Supine/Expiration	Whole chest	Whole chest	Whole chest	Whole chest	Whole chest
	Prone/Inspiration	Optional Limit to ROI / whole chest	Optional Whole chest	Optional Whole chest	Optional Limit to ROI	Optional Limit to ROI
Technique	Supine/Inspiration	Volumetric	Volumetric	Volumetric	Volumetric and Sequential	Volumetric
	Supine/Expiration	Sequential / (optional) volumetric, ultralow dose	Sequential	Volumetric, dose not assessed	Sequential	Sequential / Volumetric, dose not assessed
	Prone/Inspiration	Sequential / (optional) volumetric at ROI, ultralow dose	Sequential / volumetric at whole chest, ultralow dose	Sequential (below carina)	Sequential	Sequential
Collimation	Supine/Inspiration	Thinnest (< 1.5 mm)	Thinnest (0.625 mm)	Thinnest (< 1.5 mm)	Thinnest (0.6 mm)	Thinnest (0.625 mm)
	Supine/Expiration					
	Prone/Inspiration					
Rotation time	Supine/Inspiration	Shortest (<0.5 s)	Shortest (0.33 s)	Shortest (<0.5 s)	Shortest (0.35 s)	Shortest (0.27 s)
	Supine/Expiration					
	Prone/Inspiration					
Pitch	Supine/Inspiration	Highest (>1)	Highest (>1)	0.992:1	1.2	1.234
	Supine/Expiration					
	Prone/Inspiration					
Radiation dose	Supine/Inspiration	120 kVp Auto mAs (1-3 mSv)	120 kVp Auto mAs (1-3 mSv)	120 kVp Auto mAs (dose not assessed)	120 kVp Volumetric Auto mAs Sequential 40-60 mAs (2-4 mSv)	120 kVp Auto mAs (dose not assessed)
	Supine/Expiration	120 kVp 20-60 mAs (<1mSv) *100 Kvp, 40-60 mAs (<1mSv)	120 kVp Auto mAs (<1mSv)	100 kVp Auto mAs (dose not assessed)	100 kVp 40-60 mAs (<1 mSv)	100 kVp 20-60 mAs (dose not assessed)
	Prone/Inspiration	120 kVp 40-80 mAs (<1mSv)	120-100 kVp Auto mAs (<1mSv)"	100 kVp 50 mAs (dose not assessed)"	100 kVp 60 mAs (<1 mSv)	100 kVp 40-50 mAs (dose not assessed)
Reconstruction	Supine/Inspiration	1. Axial, lung-window (high-spatial algorithm) ≤1.5 mm thickness overlap (30-50%) 2. Axial, mediastinal- window (low-spatial algorithm) ≤1.5 mm thickness overlap (30-50%) 3. Coronal, mediastinal window (low-spatial algorithm) ≤1.5 mm thickness contiguous	1. Axial, lung-window (high-spatial algorithm) 1.0-1.5 mm thickness overlap (30-50%) 2. Coronal and sagittal, lung-window (high- spatial algorithm) 1.0-1.5 mm thickness overlap (30-50%) 3. Axial, mediastinal- window (low-spatial algorithm) 2.5-3 mm thickness 4. No coronal, mediastinal window	1. Axial, lung-window (high-spatial algorithm) Inspiration 0.625 mm Expiration 1.25 mm thickness overlap (30-50%) 2. Axial, mediastinal- window (low-spatial algorithm) 1.25 mm thickness 3. Coronal, mediastinal window (low-spatial algorithm) 5 mm thickness contiguous **estimate BW and HT before scan	1. Axial, lung-window (high-spatial algorithm) 1.0-1.25 mm thickness overlap (30-50%) 2. Axial, mediasti- nal-window (low-spatial algorithm) 1.0-1.25 mm thickness overlap (30-50%) 3. Coronal and sagittal, mediastinal window, 5 mm thickness, 3 mm increments	1. Axial, lung-window (high-spatial algorithm) 1.0 mm thickness overlap (30-50%) 2. Axial, mediastinal- window (low-spatial algorithm) 1.0 mm thickness 3. Coronal and sagittal, mediastinal window 4. Axial MIP, mediastinal window, 7 mm thickness, 3 mm increments **estimate BW and HT before scan

	Position/ respiration	Rajavithi Hospital	Maharaj Nakorn Chiang Mai Hospital	Srinagarind Hospital	Thammasat University Hospital	Burapha University Hospital	MedPark Hospital
Scan coverage	Supine/Inspiration	Whole chest	Whole chest	Whole chest	Whole chest	Whole chest	Whole chest
	Supine/Expiration	Whole chest	Whole chest	Whole chest	Whole chest	Whole chest	Whole chest
	Prone/Inspiration	Optional Whole chest	Optional Limit to ROI	Optional Whole chest	Optional Whole chest	Optional Whole chest	Optional Limit to ROI
Technique	Supine/Inspiration	Volumetric	Volumetric	Volumetric	Volumetric	Volumetric	Volumetric and Sequential
	Supine/Expiration	Volumetric, not ultralow dose	Volumetric, not ultralow dose	Volumetric, dose not assessed	Volumetric, not ultralow dose	Volumetric, not ultralow dose	Volumetric, ultralow dose
	Prone/Inspiration	Volumetric at whole chest, not ultralow dose	Volumetric at ROI, not ultralow dose	Volumetric at whole chest, dose not assessed	Volumetric at whole chest, not ultralow dose	Volumetric at whole chest, not ultralow dose	Volumetric at ROI, ultralow dose
Collimation	Supine/Inspiration	Thinnest (< 1.5 mm)	Thinnest (0.6 mm)	Thinnest (< 1.5 mm)	Thinnest (0.625 mm)	Thinnest (0.5 mm)	Thinnest (< 1.5 mm)
	Supine/Expiration						
	Prone/Inspiration						
Rotation time	Supine/Inspiration	Shortest (<0.5 s)	Shortest (<0.5 s)	Shortest (<0.5 s)	Shortest (<0.5 s)	Shortest (<0.5 s)	Shortest (<0.5 s)
	Supine/Expiration						
	Prone/Inspiration						
Pitch	Supine/Inspiration	1.2	1	1.2	1	1.4	1.2
	Supine/Expiration						
	Prone/Inspiration						
Radiation dose	Supine/Inspiration	120 kVp Auto mAs (3-4 mSv)	120 kVp 110 mAs (1-3 mSv)	120 kVp Auto mAs (dose not assessed)	120 kVp Auto mAs (1-3 mSv)	120 kVp Auto mAs (10 mSv)	120 kVp Volumetric Auto mAs Sequential 220 mAs (4.1 mSv)
	Supine/Expiration	120 kVp Auto mAs (2 mSv)	120 kVp 65 mAs (1-2 mSv)	120 kVp Auto mAs (dose not assessed)	120 kVp Auto mAs (1-2 mSv)	120 kVp 150 mAs (5 mSv)	120 kVp Auto mAs (<1mSv)
	Prone/Inspiration	120 kVp Auto mAs (2 mSv)	120-100 kVp 110 mAs, (1-3 mSv)	120 kVp Auto mAs (dose not assessed)	120-100 kVp Auto mAs (1-2 mSv)	120 kVp 150 mAs (5 mSv)	120 kVp Auto mAs (<1mSv)
Reconstruction	Supine/Inspiration	1. Axial, lung-window (high-spatial algorithm) 2.0 mm thickness overlap (30-50%) 2. Axial, lung-window, (high-spatial algorithm) 1.0 mm, interval 10 mm. 3. Coronal and sagittal, lung-window, (high- spatial algorithm) 2.0 mm thickness 4. Axial, mediastinal- window (low-spatial algorithm) 2.0 mm thickness 5. No coronal, mediastinal window	1. Axial, lung-window (high-spatial algorithm) 0.7-1.0 mm thickness overlap (30-50%) 2. Coronal and sagittal, lung-window (high- spatial algorithm) 1-5 mm thickness 3. Axial, mediastinal- window (low-spatial algorithm) 1 mm thickness 4. No coronal, mediastinal window	1. Axial, lung-window (high-spatial algorithm) 0.6-1.0 mm thickness overlap (30-50%) 2. Coronal and sagittal, lung-window (high- spatial algorithm) 1 mm thickness 3. Axial, mediastinal- window (low-spatial algorithm) 2.0 mm thickness 4. No coronal, mediastinal window	1. Axial, lung-window (high-spatial algorithm) 1.0 mm thickness overlap (30-50%) 2. Coronal and sagittal, lung-window (high- spatial algorithm) 2.5 mm thickness 3. Axial, mediastinal- window (low-spatial algorithm) 2.5 mm thickness 4. No coronal, mediastinal window	1. Axial, lung-window (high-spatial algorithm) 1.0 mm thickness overlap (30-50%) 2. Coronal and sagittal, lung-window (high- spatial algorithm) 3 mm thickness 3. Axial, mediastinal- window (low-spatial algorithm) 2.0 mm thickness 4. No coronal, mediastinal window	1. Axial, lung-window (high-spatial algorithm) 1.0 mm thickness overlap (30-50%) 2. Coronal and sagittal, lung-window (high- spatial algorithm) 2 mm thickness 3. Axial, mediastinal- window (low-spatial algorithm) 1 mm thickness 4. Coronal and sagittal mediastinal window (thickness not provided)

Agenda 2: A review of safety and effectiveness of the diagnosis of thoracic disorders using imaging.

2.1 Mass miniature chest radiography, presented by Chayaporn Kaewsathorn and moderated by Wiwatana Tanomkiat.

Image intensifier photofluorography or mass chest radiography is still in limited use in Thailand and image interpreters are general doctors, without the reliance on an illuminator and a magnifying machine to enlarge the image to facilitate an interpretation even in the contemporary healthcare practices. Therefore, the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy cannot be compared with reports from other countries. Because the mass miniature chest image is the screen film radiograph, it needs to be digitized to store in the Picture Archive and Communication System (PACS) or its use in mass screening in public health can be limited. For the same reason, artificial intelligence (AI) for screening or triaging cannot be applied on the digitized mass chest. Moreover, in 1974, the World Health Organization (WHO) released the ninth report claiming that mobile mass radiography should be abandoned in the screening for tuberculosis [2].

Participants' conclusion: The conference participants unanimously agreed that mass miniature chest radiography is not a recommended method in a medical check-up or a health screening. There should be a clear communication about the limitations of using mass miniature chest radiography in terms of sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy, which might not be effective enough and diseases could stay unnoticed.

2.2 The use of low-dose CT (LDCT) and Lung-RADS, presented by Nitra Piyavisetpat.

LDCT

As CT scans have become more widely used in lung cancer screening nowadays, a meeting was convened to establish a common understanding regarding the definition of LDCT, responsibilities of the individuals in charge of LDCT, and the limitations and risks associated with LDCT.

Definition of LDCT: Until the present days, there has not been an exact definition of how much radiation falls into the low-dose category. In practice, many institutes in Thailand follow the following:

- The low-dose quantity is defined as 10-30% of the standard-dose CT [3],
- The general aim of LDCT is to decrease the effective dose, calculated by multiplying the Dose Length Product (DLP) by a specific conversion factor of 0.0146. The DLP itself is derived from computed tomography dose index (CTDI) multiplying by scan length (in cm). To reduce the effective dose, changes can be made not only by lowering the tube current and tube voltage but also by limiting the scan length specific to the lungs.

The use of LDCT: It is widely accepted that the use of LDCT could reduce the mortality rate, as indicated by numerous studies. According to the US Preventive Services Task Force guidelines, patients aged 50 years or older, with a smoking history of 20 or more pack years, and who are either current smokers or quit smoking less than 15 years ago, are considered at risk [4].

Whether or not there should be a CT protocol guideline: In low-dose screening trials, the effective dose ranges from 0.2-2.36 mSv. In the National Lung Screening Trial (NLST), an average effective dose estimate is 2 mSv per CT, and in the Nelson trial the estimated effective dose is less than 2 mSv. Based on the National Diagnostic Reference Levels in Thailand 2023 [5], the DLP of standard non-contrast chest CT chest is 417 mGy.cm, which corresponds to an effective dose of approximately 6.09 mSv. Therefore, in Thailand, LDCT should be at least 50% lower than the standard non-contrast chest CT.

Possible dangers: The primary concern regarding LDCT is the potential harmful effects of radiation. Even though the radiation dose in LDCT is significantly lower than in standard chest CT, patients often require multiple CT scans, not only for initial screening but also for diagnostic evaluations of lesions detected during LDCT screening. This leads to cumulative radiation exposure. Furthermore, the lungs become more sensitive to radiation as individuals age, with a peak sensitivity typically occurring around 50-55 years. Smoking also amplifies the damage caused by radiation [6]. Therefore, the risk of radiation exposure is not negligible.

LDCT is generally considered inferior to standard dose CT in detecting lesions such as ground glass nodule and other mediastinal lesions, especially in the obese patients. It can also lead to false negative results, particularly for small cell lung cancer which is not generally detected at an early stage. Therefore, a negative LDCT result does not rule out the possibility of lung cancer.

In Thailand, there is widespread confusion regarding the use of LDCT for diagnostic purposes. Some decision-makers mistakenly believe that LDCT should be inexpensive due to its lower radiation dose, leading physicians to request LDCT to cut healthcare costs. However, achieving diagnostic quality with reduced radiation demands sophisticated, high-level CT scanners. These advanced machines are meticulously engineered to minimize radiation doses while ensuring diagnostic accuracy. Consequently, these high-quality CT scanners come at a significant cost. This misunderstanding poses challenges for radiologists using LDCT, potentially compromising the quality of diagnoses. Therefore, it is imperative for responsible individuals to educate decision-makers about the intricacies of LDCT technology and its associated costs.

Participants' conclusion: The participants achieved the following:

- 1) In terms of the definition of LDCT, an effective dose is at least 10-30% of the standard-dose non-contrast chest CT,
- 2) The CT machine used to conduct LDCT should be the 16 slices model or newer, as this specific model offers sufficient image resolution to detect nodules even with reduced radiation,
- 3) Although the radiation dose in LDCT is low, multiple CT scans are performed in the screening group. Moreover, the peak sensitivity of lungs to radiation occurs between the ages of 50-55, and there is a synergistic effect with smoking. Hence, radiation harm cannot be disregarded. Patients entering screening programs should meet the specified screening criteria. Additionally, it is crucial not only to undergo low-dose CT screening but also to focus on smoking cessation,
- 4) LDCT has limitations in its interpretation across various aspects. The interpretation of other diseases using LDCT relies on the judgment of radiologists for deriving additional benefits,
- 5) LDCT screening for lung cancer is valuable for detecting slow-growing cancers, but it may miss rapidly progressing tumors between screenings. A negative low-dose CT result does not guarantee the absence of lung cancer and can yield high false-positive rates, particularly in areas with a high prevalence of tuberculosis and granulomatous infections.

Lung-RADS

To ensure consistent interpretation based on Lung-RADS version 2022 [7], discussions were conducted regarding its application. Lung-RADS 2022 is intended for interpreting scans of patients meeting specific screening criteria, namely, those aged 50 years or older, with a smoking history of 20 or more pack years, and either current smokers or individuals who quit smoking less than 15 years ago. Conversely, the 2017 Fleischner Society guidelines should be applied to the general population with incidentally detected nodules [8].

Participants' conclusion: All were in agreement with the established approach.

Agenda 3: HRCT interpretation for suspected or at-risk or with known interstitial lung disease (ILD) by Wiwatana Tanomkiat, Chayanin Nitiwarangkul, Chayaporn Kaewsathorn, and Kanyarat Totanarungroj.

The increasing prevalence of lung fibrosis has prompted concerns among referring physicians regarding the adequacy of information provided in certain HRCT reports for subsequent clinical decision-making. Consequently, the delineation of key components within HRCT reports has been suggested for enhanced diagnostic interpretation.

Recommended topics and information for inclusion in an HRCT report:

- Date of scan and date of image comparison.
- Patient history/demographic data.
- Scanning technique (including image quality).
- HRCT findings, including details such as:
 - Changes in the lung volume,
 - Descriptive findings of lung fibrosis findings and distributions,
 - Disease extent (both global disease and fibrotic extents),
 - Other associated features.

- Impression details, including:
 - Identification of disease patterns (e.g., "UIP pattern," "probable UIP pattern," "indeterminate for UIP pattern," and "alternative diagnosis – specify the most likely disease/diagnosis if possible") as per the 2022 ATS/ERS/ARS/ALAT guideline [9],
 - Fibrotic extent and global disease extent,
 - Presence of radiological progression of the disease [9],
 - Other significant findings/associated findings.

Additional clarifications:

- Disease extent: fibrotic extent and global disease extent as determined in the administrative meetings of 2021, published in The ASEAN Journal of Radiology in December 2021 [10] and April 2022 [11,12], respectively.
- Presence of radiological progression indicating progressive pulmonary fibrosis (PPF): PPF refers to cases with certain underlying diseases (non-IPF ILD) causing pulmonary fibrosis, which meet the 2 in 3 criteria in the past year with no alternative explanation. Criteria include radiological characteristics indicating an increased extent or increased severity of lung fibrosis.

HRCT of the lungs

Technique: (including limitations (if any), e.g., poor image quality, motion artifact)

History:

Comparison:

Findings:

Lung volume: Increase/Decrease

Pattern: Honeycombing: Y/N
Traction bronchiectasis/bronchiolectasis: Y/N
Reticulations/GGO: Y/N

Distribution: Axial: central/peripheral/diffuse
Craniocaudal: upper/mid/lower/diffuse

+/- Extent: Global disease extent % (nearest to 5)
Fibrotic extent % (nearest to 5)

Associated features:

Cysts (consider LAM, PLCH, LIP, and DIP)
Mosaic attenuation/air-trapping/ or three-density sign (consider HP)
Predominant GGO (consider HP, smoking-related disease, drug toxicity, and acute exacerbation of fibrosis)
Nodules (Y/N) >> random/ centrilobular/perilymphatic/ profuse centrilobular micronodules (consider HP or smoking-related disease)
Consolidation (consider organizing pneumonia, etc.)
Pleural plaques (consider asbestosis)
Dilated esophagus (consider CTD)

Pulmonary ossifications

Emphysema (centrilobular/paraseptal/panacinar)

Lymphadenopathy
Pulmonary artery dilatation/enlargement

Airway abnormalities; bronchiectasis

Bony structures; joint erosion

Impression:

- Findings suggestive of "UIP pattern", "probable UIP pattern", "indeterminate for UIP pattern", and "alternative diagnosis – specify the most likely disease/diagnosis if possible").
- Fibrotic extent and global disease extent
- Presence of radiological progression of the disease.
- Other significant findings/associated findings

Figure 1. Example of detail in HRCT report in patients with suspected or at-risk or with known ILD.

Participants' conclusion: A shared understanding of the report guideline's purposes was affirmed among participants:

1. It is intended to be used with patients suspected of developing pulmonary fibrosis with an unknown cause, such as IPF or connective tissue disorder (CTD),
2. It aims for comprehensive information to enhance more effective patient treatment,
3. It is acknowledged that this guideline specifically pertains to reporting HRCT in patients with ILD and may not be universally applicable to all patient reports.

Agenda 4: The CT protocol for cancer patients in the system under the Universal Health Coverage (UHC) by Wiwatana Tanomkiat and the panellists.

Because the National Health Security Office (NHSO) aims to elevate medical services, enhance the efficiency and medical service quality, reduce the waiting period to receive a computed tomography (CT) for cancer patients who are in the system under UHC by allowing cancer patients to receive CT in private health services. The NHSO asked for advice from the RCRT on a CT protocol that serves as a guideline to be employed in private health services that offer CT to eligible cancer patients in order to deliver quality CT that reaches the standard in terms of safety and optimal benefits for a treatment. Therefore, the CT protocol has been proposed to perform as a guideline for the private medical institutions to appropriately adopt and adapt.

Participants' conclusion: During the discussion, diverse opinions regarding the CT protocol employed in each participant's institution were exchanged. Taking into account the well-being of patients, the efficiency of procedures, and the practical aspects involved, Table 2 was formulated.

Table 2. shows the CT chest protocol for primary lung cancer and metastatic surveillance.

Phase	- Lung cancer (first diagnosis)	- Pre-contrast at the tumor (optional) - Venous
	- Lung cancer (follow-up) - Surveillance for metastasis - Surveillance for metastasis in extrathoracic soft tissue sarcoma (first diagnosis)	- Venous
	- Surveillance for metastasis in extrathoracic soft tissue sarcoma (follow-up)	- Low dose non-contrast
Coverage	Supraclavicular area to adrenal gland	
Slice thickness	≤ 3 mm	
Increment (optional)	Less than slice thickness	
Field of view	Cover chest wall	
Rotation time	Shortest as possible	
Scanning time	Should be within single breath hold	
Radiation exposure	Automatic exposure control	
Reconstruction	Lung algorithm and soft tissue algorithm	
Reconstruction	High spatial lung window	Axial view (2-3 mm)
	Low-spatial algorithm/kernel for soft tissue window	Axial view (2-3 mm), Coronal and sagittal views (3 mm) Optional: MIP 5-7 mm
Total radiation dose	Recommendations regarding doses applied in chest CT without contrast media examination were formulated based on the National Diagnostic Reference Levels of Thailand in 2022. This included the reference levels of DLP <417 mGy.cm and CTDI <18 mGy, For CT chest with contrast media examination, recommendations were formulated, including the reference levels of DLP <665 mGy.cm and CTDIVOL <18 mGy.	

Agenda 5: A review of the guideline for determining fibrotic extent on HRCT by Phakphoom Thiravit.

The fibrotic extent of ILD in CTD patients could affect treatments such as using immunosuppressive drugs in patients with systemic sclerosis with fibrosis covering over 20% of the lung. For this reason, the use of HRCT to determine the fibrotic extent is crucial.

There are four popular methods in the determination of the fibrotic extent and the global disease extent, based on the different levels of lung parenchyma selected on HRCT:

Method 1: Using the 3 levels by Sanchez et al. [13],

Method 2: Using the 5 levels by Well et al. [14],

Method 3: Using the 5 levels by Goh et al. [15],

Method 4: Using the 6 levels by chest radiologists in Thailand [12]. This group modified the Method 3 by adding the 6th level, located below the diaphragm.

Chest radiologists from Siriraj Hospital conducted a comparative research study by applying methods 1, 2, and 4 to evaluate the fibrotic extent in IPF. The results revealed:

Method 1 gave the highest mean fibrotic score or global disease extent score among the three methods,

Method 2 gave the lowest mean fibrotic score or global disease extent score among the three methods,

Method 4 gave the mean fibrotic score or global disease extent score in between the Method 1 and Method 4.

Moreover, AI was created to calculate the fibrotic score whose results linger between Method 2 and 4. However, the AI usage is still in its developmental stage.

Participants' conclusion: Method 4 can be adjusted by removing the 4th level and relocating the last level to 5 centimeters above the posterior costophrenic angle (CPA). The additional coronal or sagittal view might be helpful to determine the fibrotic extent more accurately.

Participant list:

Panellists

1. Wiwatana Tanomkiat
Songklanagarind Hospital,
Prince of Songkla University.
2. Sitang Nirattisaikul
Songklanagarind Hospital,
Prince of Songkla University.
3. Chayaporn Kaewsathorn
Songklanagarind Hospital,
Prince of Songkla University.
4. Sutarat Tungsagunwattana
Central Chest Institute of Thailand,
Department of Medical Services,
Ministry of Public Health.
5. Kittika Jiamjit
Central Chest Institute of Thailand,
Department of Medical Services,
Ministry of Public Health.
6. Rungaroon Tangsrikertikul
Central Chest Institute of Thailand,
Department of Medical Services,
Ministry of Public Health.
7. Thitiporn Suwatanapongched
Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University.
8. Warawut Sukkasem
Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University.
9. Chayanin Nitiwarangkul
Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University.
10. Nisa Muangman
Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University.
11. Kanyarat Totanarungroj
Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University.
12. Phakphoom Thiravit
Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University.
13. Krittachat Butnian
Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University.
14. Wariya Chintanapakdee
King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital,
Chulalongkorn University.
15. Itthi Itthisawatpan
King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital,
Chulalongkorn University.
16. Nitra Piyavisetpat
King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital,
Chulalongkorn University.
Department of Radiology, MedPark hospital.
17. Juntima Euathrongchit
Maharaj Nakorn Chiang Mai Hospital,
Chiang Mai University.
18. Yuttaphan Wannasopha
Maharaj Nakorn Chiang Mai Hospital,
Chiang Mai University.
19. Panaya Tumsatan
Srinagarind Hospital, Khon Kean University.
20. Wannaporn Soontrapa
Srinagarind Hospital, Khon Kean University.

21. Krisna Dissaneevate
22. Watanya Jaidee
23. Amolchaya Kwankua

Rjavithi Hospital, Department of Medical Services, Ministry of Public Health.
Faculty of Medicine, Burapha University.
Faculty of Medicine, Thammasat University.

Observers

1. Pakorn Prakaikietikul
2. Natnicha Seehirunwong
3. Apisada Siripraiwan
4. Tanaporn Eiambanapong
5. Thamonpon Pholprasert
6. Phum Inseeyong

Maharaj Nakorn Chiang Mai Hospital,
Chiang Mai University.
Songklanagarind Hospital,
Prince of Songkla University.
Songklanagarind Hospital,
Prince of Songkla University.
Songklanagarind Hospital,
Prince of Songkla University.
Songklanagarind Hospital,
Prince of Songkla University.
Songklanagarind Hospital,
Prince of Songkla University.
Songklanagarind Hospital,
Prince of Songkla University.

Meeting recorder Chayaporn Kaewsathorn, MD.
Reviewer Wiwatana Tanomkiat, MD.

References

1. Kaewsathorn C, Thiravit P, Tongbai T, Chintanapakdee W, Itthisawatpan I, Sukkasem W, et al. Report from the 2022 annual meeting of thoracic radiologists in Thailand: National HRCT Protocol and its applications in 10 major institutes. ASEAN J Radiol [Internet]. 2023 Apr 30 [cited 2023 Oct 29];24(1):44-58. Available from: <https://www.asean-journal-radiology.org/index.php/ajr/article/view/214>.
2. WHO-expertem-Komitee für Tuberkulose, 9. Bericht. Technische Berichtsreihe Nr. 552; WHO, Genf, 1974 Deutsche Übersetzung [WHO-Expert Committee on Tuberculosis, Ninth Report. Technical Report Series No. 552; WHO, Geneva, 1974 (author's transl)]. Z Erkr Atmungsorgane 1975 ;143:162-93. German.
3. Larke FJ, Kruger RL, Cagnon CH, Flynn MJ, McNitt-Gray MM, Wu X, et al. Estimated radiation dose associated with low-dose chest CT of average-size participants in the National Lung Screening Trial. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2011;197:1165-9. doi: 10.2214/AJR.11.6533.
4. US Preventive Services Task Force; Krist AH, Davidson KW, Mangione CM, Barry MJ, Cabana M, et al. Screening for Lung Cancer: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. JAMA 2021;325:962-970. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.1117.
5. อนงค์ ลิงกวางไชย์, ศุภวัฒน์ ทัพสุริย์, ปานฤทัย ตรินวรัตน์, บรรณาธิการ. National Diagnosis Reference Level in Thailand 2023 (ค่าปริมาณรังสีอ้างอิงในการถ่ายภาพรังสีวินิจฉัยทางการแพทย์ของประเทศไทย 2566) [อินเทอร์เน็ต]. นนทบุรี: กรมวิทยาศาสตร์การแพทย์ กระทรวงสาธารณสุข; 2566 [เข้าถึงเมื่อ 3 ธันวาคม 2566]. เข้าถึงได้จาก:<https://www.rcrt.or.th/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/National-DRLs-in-Thailand-2023.pdf>
6. Pozzessere C, von Garnier C, Beigelman-Aubry C. Radiation Exposure to Low-Dose Computed Tomography for Lung Cancer Screening: Should We Be Concerned? Tomography 2023;9:166-177. doi: 10.3390/tomography9010015.

7. American College of Radiology Committee on Lung-RADS [Internet]. Lung-RADS 2022 [cited 2023 Dec 3]. Available from: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/RADS/Lung-RADS/Lung-RADS-2022>. Accessed October 29, 2023.
8. MacMahon H, Naidich DP, Goo JM, Lee KS, Leung ANC, Mayo JR, et al. Guidelines for Management of Incidental Pulmonary Nodules Detected on CT Images: From the Fleischner Society 2017. *Radiology* 2017;284:228-43. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2017161659.
9. Raghu G, Remy-Jardin M, Richeldi L, Thomson CC, Inoue Y, Johkoh T, et al. Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (an Update) and Progressive Pulmonary Fibrosis in Adults: An Official ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT Clinical Practice Guideline. *AmJRespirCritCareMed* 2022;205:e18-e47. doi:10.1164/rccm.202202-0399ST.
10. Tanomkiat W, Nitiwarangkul (Thongprasert) C, Euathrongchit J, Thiravit P, Tongbai T, Suwatanapongched T. Multidisciplinary working group for interstitial lung disease in Thailand: Part 1-rationale in developing a guide to estimate the global disease and fibrotic extents on high-Resolution computed tomography. *ASEAN J Radiol* [Internet]. 2021 Dec 31 [cited 2023 Oct 29];22(3):47-60. Available from: <https://www.asean-journal-radiology.org/index.php/ajr/article/view/158>.
11. Euathrongchit J, Thiravit P, Tanomkiat W, Nitiwarangkul C, Tongbai T, Wannosopha Y, et al. Multidisciplinary working group for interstitial lung disease in Thailand: Part 2 – a concise review of published visual scoring methods for interstitial lung disease. *ASEAN J Radiol* [Internet]. 2022 Apr 30 [cited 2023 Oct 29];23(1):42-55. Available from: <https://www.asean-journal-radiology.org/index.php/ajr/article/view/170>.
12. Suwatanapongched T, Nitiwarangkul C, Euathrongchit J, Thiravit P, Tongbai T, Tanomkiat W. Multidisciplinary working group for interstitial lung disease in Thailand: Part 3 – the proposed visual scoring method for quantifying the global disease and fibrotic extents on high-resolution CT. *ASEAN J Radiol* [Internet]. 2022 Apr 30 [cited 2023 Oct 29];23(1):56-65. Available from: <https://www.asean-journal-radiology.org/index.php/ajr/article/view/171>.

13. Sánchez RP, Fernández-Fabrellas E, Samper GJ, Montañana MLD, Vilar LN. Visual HRCT score to determine severity and prognosis of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Int J Respir Pulm Med* 2018; 5:084. doi.org/10.23937/2378-3516/1410084.
14. Wells AU, Hansell DM, Corrin B, Harrison NK, Goldstraw P, Black CM, et al. High resolution computed tomography as a predictor of lung histology in systemic sclerosis. *Thorax* 1992;47:738-42. doi: 10.1136/thx.47.9.738.
15. Goh NS, Desai SR, Veeraraghavan S, Hansell DM, Copley SJ, Maher TM, et al. Interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis: a simple staging system. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;177:1248-54. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200706-877OC.