

## TREATMENT OF ADULT LOW AND HIGH GRADE GLIOMAS : PAST PRESENT AND FUTURE; LITERATURE REVIEWED

Thiti SWANGSILPA;MD<sup>1</sup>

### ABSTRACT

Some controversy about management of gliomas has been discussed in several reports in the literatures. For low grade gliomas, conservative or aggressive treatment is considered a proper management in each case. The unpublished result of ongoing Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) clinical trial about treatment of low grade gliomas may give some more answer in this topic. For high grade gliomas, multimodality treatment is accepted and the benefit of chemotherapy is proven at the present time. It is hoped that biotherapy will be another effective novel approach in the future for high grade gliomas.

### INTRODUCTION

The term "gliomas" is referred to the tumor originated from glia cells. The World Health Organization (WHO) classifies gliomas according to their morphologic characteristics into astrocytic, oligodendroglia and mixed tumors.<sup>1</sup> Management of gliomas has so far produced variable therapeutic outcome due to heterogeneity of disease (variable in histology), genetic alteration and immune abnormality of host. Modality of treatment is varied from the past to present and may be in the future. The objective of this paper was to show the proper management of adult gliomas by reviewing the articles related to treatment topics in "PubMed" online, paying a particular attention since the year 2000 and searching for references or related articles in the past to see the trend for a proper management at present and possibly in the future. The treatment is addressed specifically to low and high grade gliomas.

### TREATMENT OF LOW GRADE GLIOMAS

Due to slow growing behavior of these tumors, controversy about management in surveillance, surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy has been discussed in many articles.

Surveillance or defer treatment of low grade gliomas is referred to delay any aggressive treatment after biopsy has been done. This concept may be appropriate for very slow growing and indolent behavior of disease such as low grade oligodendroglioma in young age group (< 21 years) with a non-enhancing mass on Magnetic Resonance Image (MRI) presented with seizures and no other neurologic symptoms, concerning surgical complications or when patient wants to be observed.<sup>2-5</sup>

Surgery has been the main treatment modality for a long time with benefit in obtaining tissue diagnosis and cytoreduction to improve neurological status and decreased local recurrence or malignant transformation. Aggressive surgical procedure can prolong survival and improve quality of life in cases with minimal morbidity from the procedure.<sup>5,6,7</sup> However, in the multivariate analysis, extent of resection is not a significant prognostic factor for overall survival.<sup>3,8,9</sup> The extent of surgery is still a matter of debate by now. To avoid severe surgical complication in deep seated or eloquent area, functional or cortical mapping surgical procedure is applied to get the maximum tumor removal with the least morbidity.<sup>10-13</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Radiation Oncology unit Department of radiology Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital Mahidol University Bangkok Thailand



The controversy of radiation treatment in low grade gliomas is debated with regard to toxicity, efficacy and optimal time to begin the treatment. However, there is still no sufficient data from randomized control trials to answer these questions. The recommendation for radiotherapy regimen to avoid severe toxicity is to use a daily dose not more than 2 Grey (Gy) and total tumor dose between 50-55 Gy with the improvement of radiotherapy technique, such as a conformal 3 dimensional treatment to give exactly treatment volume to tumor and minimally normal tissue effect.<sup>5,6,8,14-18</sup> There is still no definite standard dose for low-grade glioma. Median dose from most reports is 54-55 Gy. Efficacy of radiotherapy has been discussed between the believer and non-believer group subject to each opinion. For the group who believes in the efficacy of radiotherapy, it is argued that it can decrease tumor burden and prevent dedifferentiation from low to high grade. The most effective benefit is that it can prolong the time of progression of the disease. Moreover, at a present time of the modern radiation technology, the side effect from treatment is less than before.<sup>18-20</sup> For the non-believer group, they have found no overall survival benefit from radiation treatment and still afraid of radiation complications. Moreover, if tumors progression are detected in those cases, they believe they can handle it with resurgical procedure.<sup>21-23</sup> The optimal time of postoperative radiotherapy can be defined into early (within 8 weeks of surgical day) and late (more than 8 weeks) treatment. The criteria can be concluded that early radiotherapy is considered in cases with age more than 40 years (or 50 years in some report), astrocytoma histology, the largest tumor diameter more than 6 centimeters, tumor crossed midline and presented with neurological deficits before surgery. These are unfavorable prognostic factors which are reasonable to give early radiotherapy to improve the time to progression or progressive free survival. Delay radiotherapy is considered in young age group with good performance status who had less than 2 unfavorable prognostic factors, oligodendroglioma or oligodendroglial predominant, and presented with seizure only before

surgery.<sup>5,8,9,14,18,22-30</sup> However, there is still no exact conclusion in these comments of radiotherapy ideas. Altered fractionation, stereotactic radiotherapy or dose escalation with proton/photon treatment in low grade gliomas do not gain benefit from conventional treatment.<sup>29</sup>

Chemotherapy (such as nitrosourea, lomustine, temozolomide) is claimed to be an effective treatment and can delay radiotherapy if afraid of complication or used in recurrent or progressive disease. However, there is still questionable in true benefit and toxicity of chemotherapy in low grade gliomas although some response is seen in case with chromosome 1p and 19q deletion.<sup>5,29-35</sup>

The ongoing Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) protocol 98-02<sup>36</sup> is the study of phase II observation in a favorable low-grade glioma (age < 40 years and gross total resection) and phase III radiation with or without Procarbazine, CCNU, Vincristine (PCV) in unfavorable low grade glioma (age equal or less than 40 years or subtotal resection/biopsy). This report may give some clue for the treatment in the future.

## TREATMENT OF HIGH GRADE GLIOMAS

The genetic alteration in high grade gliomas, such as loss of tumor suppressor gene, loss of cell cycle control, increased angiogenesis and invasiveness, is the factors that contribute to aggressiveness of this malignancy and make it hard to handle the good outcome of treatment.<sup>37-39</sup> For glioblastoma multiformae, the necrotic area in the central zone can activate surrounding pseudopalisade area which contains hypercellular tumor cells and can activate hypoxic-induced factor (HIF) and induce progressiveness of necrotic zone. The invasiveness genes (such as Matrix Metallo Protease, MMP2) can produce edematous area around the tumor cells which make it hard to define the exact tumor margin.<sup>37-39</sup> Nowadays, the recommended standard treatment of high grade gliomas is surgery followed by postoperative



radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy.<sup>40-43</sup>

Surgical problem is discussed between less or more aggressive procedure. Maximal tumor removal without neurological deficits to reduce the number of cancer cells is the optimal treatment. Less surgical procedure followed by radiation treatment is recommended when the tumor is located in deep seated or eloquent area which concerns morbidity from extensive surgery.<sup>44</sup> Indeed, extensive tumor removal more than 90% is effective in palliative symptoms, improve neurological status, control intracranial pressure with definitive histology and may improve overall survival. However, most of the cases presented with high grade gliomas could not receive extensive surgery without severe morbidity. In fact, aggressive tumor resection is still debatable because it fails to find a statistical correlation between extent of resection and survival.<sup>42,44-47</sup> The latest Cochrane systematic review is still under-powered to show clinical effectiveness of surgical resection compared to biopsy.<sup>48</sup> Cortical or functional mapping surgical procedure is the other way to get rid of maximum tumor tissues with minimal morbidity.<sup>10-13</sup> Resurgery is considered in two conditions, early and late period. Early resurgery after MRI for 48 hours after first time surgery is to get rid of all residual tumor. Intraoperative image guidance such as MRI or transcranial ultrasound is used to detect residual tumor and gain a possibility to achieve a more complete tumor resection.<sup>11,49-51</sup> Delay resurgery for those who have recurrence, one must be concerned about age, performance status, initial surgical procedure, site and time interval from previous surgery to make a treatment decision. Resurgery for recurrent tumor can relieve symptoms, improve general condition and reduce the need of steroid treatment. Information from resurgical specimen can provide valuable time for additional treatment.<sup>40,52,53</sup>

Standard postoperative irradiation 60 Gy, 1.8-2 Gy/ fraction is the recommended treatment for high grade gliomas.<sup>40-42,54,55</sup> Clinical Targeted Volume (CTV) is still debated. Most papers have recom-

mended CTV to cover area of high signal T2 weighted in MRI or contrast enhancement computerized tomographic (CT) scan plus hypodensity peripheral zone as the initial treatment volume. Gross tumor volume (GTV) on MRI or contrast enhancement CT scan plus 1 cm margin is defined as a boosted volume after 45-50 Gy.<sup>42,54-56</sup> Short radiation treatment course is routinely recommended in those who have high Recursive Partitioning Analysis (RPA) score as a palliative treatment.<sup>54,57-60</sup> No significantly prolonged survival was detected by using altered fractionation, brachytherapy, radiosurgery, radiosensitizer or Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT) in high grade gliomas.<sup>54,61-63</sup> Radiotherapy is limited by normal brain tissue tolerance dose. To minimize toxicity in reirradiated cases, limited field irradiation at recurrent site is necessary. Brachytherapy (iodine 125 implantation, GliaSite®), fractionated stereotactic radiosurgery or IMRT has been reported with improvement in quality of life and some survival benefit in some subgroup of patients (such as small size tumor, unifocal lesion, good performance status).<sup>64-68</sup> The advance technology of image fusion (PET, SPECT, CT, MRI) is a helpful tool for radiation oncologist to determine the exact tumor volume for reirradiation.<sup>69</sup>

Chemotherapy (such as carmustine, lomustine, nimustine) may gain survival benefit in young age, good performance status and maximal surgical debulging mass in many trials as an adjuvant therapy.<sup>41,42,55,70,71</sup> No additional incremental benefit of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in high grade gliomas was detected.<sup>72-75</sup> Temozolomide is used as a combined treatment with radiotherapy (concurrent and adjuvant) which shows survival benefit results compared with radiotherapy alone.<sup>73,74</sup> Anaplastic oligodendroglioma with loss of heterozygous (LOH) chromosome 1p and 19q has correlation with more chemoresponsiveness than other high grade gliomas.<sup>75</sup> Chemotherapy used in recurrent cases produces a small number of complete response rate. Partial response rate is around 30% with either single or combinative agents.<sup>41,42</sup> To circumvent the blood brain barrier and deliver chemotherapeutic agent to brain tissue, convection enhanced delivery or



infusion pump is the technique used to deliver drugs directly into the area where the catheter is applied. This technique can limit the side effect from systemic chemotherapy.<sup>76</sup>

Nowadays, knowledge about molecular genetics in the development of gliomas is more understood than before. There are three main genetic alteration pathways to turn original glia cells into malignant gliomas. The first way is inactivation of phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted on chromosome ten (PTEN) on chromosome 10q and epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFR) amplification and/or rearrangement leading to primary glioblastoma multiforme. The second way is loss of p53 with inactivation of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A), RB1 and CDK4 amplification and finally loss of chromosome 10q is the pathway of development of secondary glioblastoma multiforme from astrocytoma dedifferentiation. The third way is the development of glioblastoma multiforme with oligodendroglial component from loss of chromosome 1p, 19q, 10q and CDKN2A.<sup>37-39</sup> Other several factors involved such as MMP9 and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) which promotes invasion and neovascularization, hypoxic-induced factor (HIF) which enhances gelatinase activity and increase migration of pseudopalisade cells in hypercellular zone, down regulation of invasion inhibitory protein 45 (IIP45) which leads to tumor suppressor gene loss, and many other factors, can activate aggressiveness of high grade gliomas.<sup>38,39</sup> Understanding of this knowledge can lead to the novel biological treatment concept of high grade gliomas such as gene therapy, molecular therapy, immunotherapy and targeted therapy. Current clinical trials of these novel therapeutic agents have been reported (most of them are phase I and II) as a single or combination treatment, mostly with recurrent diseases. They have many mechanisms of action such as anti-tenascin Mab (monoclonal antibody), PDGFR (platelet-derived growth factor receptor) inhibitors, EGFR inhibitors, VEGF inhibitors, cell cycle inhibitor and so on.<sup>42,77-79</sup> The strategies to kill selectively glioma cells

are the use of prodrug/ suicide gene therapy, oncolytic viruses and apoptosis-inducing agents.<sup>78</sup> The concept of prodrug/ suicide gene therapy is to kill the transduced or infected herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase cells (glioma cells, higher mitotic activity than normal cells) by phosphorylated ganciclovir. This process is called GCV/TK system. Unfortunately, no significant advantage over standard treatment has been found.<sup>80</sup> Oncolytic virotherapy is to use the natural ability of lytic viruses to kill their host cells (infected glioma cells). The prototype is dl 1520 (ONYX-015) replication adenovirus which is found to be safe but limited in efficacy as a single agent while promising results are shown in combination treatment with radiotherapy or chemotherapy.<sup>81,82</sup> The idea of apoptosis induction is triggering the natural mechanism of programmed cell death in tumor cells. Malignant gliomas mostly express DR5 (TRAIL-R2, type I transmembrane receptors containing an intracellular death domain) which are sensitive to TRAIL-induced apoptosis.<sup>83,84</sup> Immunotherapy of malignant gliomas is based on the hypothesis that glioma cells accumulate immunotolerance neoantigens during transformation without any inflammation or tissue destruction at an early stage of oncogenesis. So, cancer vaccine is designed to break down the immune tolerant or to activate occult T-cell population which escapes immune tolerance. Dendritic cell vaccination is the active immunotherapy designed to act against malignant glioma. Phase I clinical trial has promoted a cytotoxic inflammatory reaction at tumor site, suggesting the successful generation of a systemic immune response. Other vaccination, such as xenogenic protein vaccine, cytokine-expressing vaccine and novel bacterial vaccine are still in the animal model trials.<sup>85-87</sup>

## CONCLUSION

The management of gliomas is still controversial in each modality. Due to slow growing behaviors of low grade gliomas, more conservative management is considered to avoid severe complications from treatment, such as surveillance, minimal aggressive surgical procedures, or limit radiation dose and



improve technique to minimize radiation complication or just wait and see after operation in some selected case. Chemotherapy is still of questionable benefit for low grade gliomas. We must wait for final results of RTOG 98-02 to answer some questions about the controversial management. For high-grade gliomas, surgery and postoperative radiotherapy is still the standard treatment. After the benefit of additional chemotherapy is proven, this modality is more accepted than before. Recurrent tumor is still a big problem due to aggressive behavior of this disease. Individual judgement in retreatment which whatever surgery, radiotherapy or chemotherapy is dependent upon each decision hoping to improve quality of life and prolong life in some patients. Understanding the knowledge of genetic alteration of high grade gliomas leading to the novel concept of biotherapy, with the hope to get rid of more tumor cells and improve treatment outcome. However, this concept is still in clinical trial but expected to be the new frontier treatment in the future.

## REFERENCES

1. Kleihues P, Burger PC, Scheithauer BW. The new WHO classification of brain tumours. *Brain Pathology* 1993;3:255-268.
2. Recht LD, Lew R, Smith TW. Suspected low-grade glioma: Is deferring treatment safe? *Ann Neurol* 1992;31:431-436.
3. van Veelen MLC, Avezaat CJJ, Kros JM, van Putten W, Vecht CH. Supratentorial low grade astrocytoma: prognostic factors, dedifferentiation, and the issue of early versus late surgery. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1998;64:581-587.
4. Feigenberg SJ, Amdur RJ, Morris CG, Mendenhall WM, Marcus RB, Friedman WA. Oligodendroglioma: Dose deferring treatment compromise outcome. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2003; 26: 60-66.
5. Dropcho EJ. Low-grade gliomas in adults. *Curr Treatment Options in Neurology* 2004; 6:265-271.
6. Karim AB, Matt B, Hatlevoll R, et al. A randomized trial on dose-response in radiation therapy of low-grade cerebral glioma: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Study 2284. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1996; 36:549-556.
7. Keles GE, Lamborn KR, Bergers MS. Low-grade hemispheric gliomas in adults: a critical review of extent of resection as a factor influencing outcome. *J Neurosurg* 2001; 95:735-745.
8. Shaw EG, Arusell R, Scheithauer B, et al. Prospective randomized trial of low- versus high- dose radiation therapy in adults with supratentorial low-grade glioma: Initial report of a North Central Cancer Treatment Group/ Radiation Therapy Oncology Group/ Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20:2267-2276.
9. Stupp R, Janzer RC, Hegi ME, et al. Prognostic factors for low-grade gliomas. *Semin Oncol* 2003;30:19:23-28.
10. Matz PG, Cobbs C, Berger MS. Intraoperative cortical mapping as a guide to the surgical resection of gliomas. *J Neurooncol* 1999; 42: 233-245.
11. Berman JI, Berger MS, Mukherjee P, Henry RG. Diffuse-tensor imaging-guided tracking of fibers of the pyramidal tract combined with intraoperative cortical stimulation mapping in patients with glioma. *J Neurosurg* 2004; 101: 66-72.
12. Oh DS, Black PM. A low-field intraoperative MRI system for glioma surgery: is it worthwhile? *Neurosurg Clin N Am* 2005; 16:135-141.
13. Majos A, Tybor K, Stefanczyk L, Goraj B. Cortical mapping by functional magnetic resonance imaging in patients with brain tumors. *Eur Radiol* 2005; 15: 1148-1158.



14. Klein M, Heimans JJ, Aronson NK, et al. Effect of radiotherapy and other treatment-related factors on mid-term to long-term cognitive sequelae in low-grade gliomas: a comparative study. *Lancet* 2002;360:1361-1368.
15. Olson JD, Riedel E, DeAngelis LM. Long-term outcome of low-grade oligodendroglioma and mixed glioma. *Neurology* 2000;54:1442-1448.
16. Henderson KH, Shaw EG. Randomized trial of radiation therapy in adult low-grade gliomas. *Semin Radiat Oncol* 2001;11:145-151.
17. Lo SS, Hall WA, Cho KH et al. Radiation dose response for supratentorial low-grade glioma: institutional experience and literature review. *J Neurological Sciences* 2003; 214: 43-48.
18. Shaw EG, Daumas-Duport C, Scheithauer BW, et al. Radiation therapy in the management of low-grade supratentorial astrocytomas. *J Neurosurg* 1989;70:853-861.
19. Karim ABMF, Kralendonk JH. Pitfalls and controversies in the treatment of gliomas. In: Karim ABMF, Laws ER Jr, editors. *Glioma: Principles and practice in neuro-oncology*. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag; 1991. p. 1-16.
20. Karim ABMF, Afra B, Cornu P, et al. Randomized trial on the efficacy of radiotherapy for cerebral low-grade glioma in the adult: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer study 22845 with the Medical Research Council study BRO4: an interim analysis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2002; 52:316-324.
21. Philippon JH, Clemenceau SH, Fauchon FH, et al. Supratentorial low-grade astrocytomas in adults. *Neurosurgery* 1993; 32: 554-559.
22. Bahary JP, Villemure JG, Choi S, et al. Low-grade pure and mixed cerebral astrocytoma treated in the CT scan era. *J Neurooncol* 1996; 27:173-177.
23. Brandes AA, Vastola F, Basso U. Controversies in the therapy of low-grade glioma: When and how to treat. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 2002;2:529-536.
24. Pignatti F, van den Bent M, Curran D, et al. Prognostic factors for survival in adult patient with cerebral low-grade glioma. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20:2076-2084.
25. Stieber VW. Low-grade gliomas. *Curr Treat Options Oncol* 2001;2:495-506.
26. Kortmann RD. Radiotherapy in low-grade gliomas: Pros. *Semin Oncol* 2003; 30 s19: 29-33.
27. Mirimanoff RO, Stupp R. Radiotherapy in low-grade glioma: Cons. *Semin Oncol* 2003; 30s19: 34-38.
28. Stupp R, Baumert B. Promises and controversies in the management of low-grade glioma. *Ann Oncol* 2003;14:1695-1696.
29. Kortman RD, Jeremic B, Weller M, et al. Immediate postoperative radiotherapy or "watch and wait" in the management of adult low-grade glioma? *Strahlenther Onkol* 2004; 180: 408-418.
30. Shaw EG, Tatter SB, Lesser GJ et al. Current controversies in the radiotherapeutic management of adult low-grade glioma. *Semin Oncol* 2004;31:653-658.
31. Eyre HJ, Crowley JJ, Townsend JJ, et al. A randomized trial of radiotherapy versus radiotherapy plus CCNU for incompletely resected low grade gliomas: A Southwest Oncology Group study. *J Neurosurg* 1993; 78: 909-914.
32. Mason WP, Krol GS, DeAngelis LM. Low-grade oligodendroglioma responds to chemotherapy. *Neurology* 1996;46:203-207.
33. Lesser GJ. Chemotherapy of low-grade gliomas. *Semin Radiat Oncol* 2001;11:138-144.
34. Quinn JA, Reardon DA, Friedman AH, et al. Phase II trial of temozolomide in patients with progressive low-grade glioma. *J Clin Oncol* 2003; 21: 646-651.



35. Pace A, Vidiri A, Galie E, et al. Temozolomide chemotherapy for progressive low-grade glioma: clinical benefits and radiological response. *Ann Oncol* 2003; 14: 1722-1726.
36. Shaw E. RTOG 98-02: a phase II study of observation in favorable low-grade glioma and phase III study of radiation with or without PCV chemotherapy in unfavorable low-grade glioma. <http://www.rtog.org>.
37. Maher EA, Furnai FB, Bachoo RM, et al. Malignant glioma: genetics and biology of a grave matter. *Genes & Development* 2001; 15: 1311-1333.
38. Sanson M, Thillet J, Hoang-Xuan K. Molecular changes in gliomas. *Curr Opin Oncol* 2004; 16: 607-613.
39. Ohgaki H, Dessen P, Jourde B, et al. Genetic pathways to glioblastoma: a population-based study. *Cancer Research* 2004; 64: 6892-6899.
40. Chang S, Theodosopoulos P, Sneed P. Multidisciplinary management of adult anaplastic astrocytomas. *Semin Radiat Oncol* 2001; 11: 163-169.
41. Brandes AA. State-of-the-art treatment of high-grade brain tumors. *Semin Oncol* 2003; 30S19:4-9.
42. Grossman SA, Batarra JF. Current management of glioblastoma multiforme. *Semin Oncol* 2004; 31: 635-644.
43. Fisher PG, Buffler PA. Malignant gliomas in 2005: Where to go from here? *JAMA* 2005; 293: 615-617.
44. Chang SM, Parney IF, Huang W, et al. Patterns of care for adults with newly diagnosed malignant glioma. *JAMA* 2005; 293: 557-564.
45. Simpson JR, Horton J, Scott C, et al. Influence of location and extent of surgical resection on survival of patients with glioblastoma multiforme: results of three consecutive Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) clinical trials. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1993; 26: 239-244.
46. Hess KR. Extent of resection as a prognostic variable in the treatment of gliomas. *J Neurooncol* 1999; 42: 227-231.
47. Hentschel SJ, Sawaya R. Optimizing outcomes with maximal surgical resection of malignant gliomas. *Cancer Control* 2003; 10: 109-114.
48. Grant R, Metcalfe SE. Biopsy versus resection for malignant glioma. *The Cochrane Systematic Reviews* 2000, Issue 2. <http://www.cochrane.org>
49. Knauth M, Wirtz CR, Tronnier VM, et al. Intraoperative magnetic resonance tomography for control of neurosurgical operations. *Radiologie*. 1998; 38: 218-224.
50. Hirschberg H, Samset E, Hol PK, Tillung T, Lote K. Impact of intraoperative MRI on the surgical results for high-grade gliomas. *Minim Invasive Neurosurg* 2005; 48: 77-84.
51. Schneider JP, Trantakis C, Rubach M, et al. Intraoperative MRI to guide the resection of primary supratentorial glioblastoma multiforme - a quantitative radiological analysis. *Neuroradiology*, article in press, published online 11 June 2005
52. Tatter SB. Recurrent malignant glioma in adults. *Curr Treat Options Oncol* 2002; 3: 509-524.
53. Sills AK Jr, Duntsch C, Weimar J. Therapeutic strategies for local recurrent malignant glioma. *Curr Treat Options Oncol* 2004; 5: 491-497.
54. Laperriere N, Zuraw L, Cairncross G, The Cancer Care Ontario Practice Guidelines Initiative Neuro-Oncology Disease Site Group. Radiotherapy for newly diagnosed malignant glioma in adults: a systematic review. *Radiotherapy and Oncology* 2002; 64: 259-273.
55. Matsutani M. Chemoradiotherapy for brain tumors: current status and perspectives. *Int J Clin Oncol* 2004; 9: 471-474.
56. Jansen EPM, Dewit LGH, van Herk M, Bartelink H. Target volumes in radiotherapy for high-grade malignant glioma of the brain. *Radiotherapy and Oncology* 2000; 56: 151-156.



57. Scott CB, Scarantino C, Urtasun R, et al. Validation and predictive power of Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) Recursive Partitioning Analysis classes for malignant glioma patients: a report using RTOG 90-06. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1998; 40: 51-55.
58. Brada M, Stenning SP. Radiotherapy for malignant gliomas in the elderly. *Semin Oncol* 2003; 30 S19: 63-67.
59. Shaw EG. Nothing ventured, nothing gained: treatment of glioblastoma multiforme in the elderly. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;9:1540-1541.
60. Roa W, Brasher PMA, Bauman G, et al. Abbreviated course of radiation therapy in older patients with glioblastoma multiforme: a prospective randomized clinical trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;9:1583-1588.
61. Berg G, Erik B, Cavallin-Stahl E. A systematic overview of radiation therapy effects in brain tumours. *Acta Oncol* 2003;42:582-588.
62. Sultanem K, Patrocinio H, Lambert C, et al. The use of hypofractionated intensity-modulated irradiation in the treatment of glioblastoma multiforme: preliminary results of a prospective trial. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2004; 58:247-252.
63. Floyd NS, Woo SY, Teh BS, et al. Hypofractionated intensity-modulated radiotherapy for primary glioblastoma multiforme. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2004;58:721-726.
64. McGrath JJ, Tsai J-S, Engler M, et al. A phase I/II study of fractionated intensity modulated radiation therapy in the treatment of patients with primary or recurrent high grade gliomas. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1997; 39S1: 269.
65. Gaspar LE, Zamorano LJ, Shamsa F, et al. Permanent 125 Iodine implants for recurrent malignant gliomas. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1999;43:997-982.
66. Lederman GS, Arbit E, Pannullo S, et al. Fractionated stereotactic radiosurgery and Taxol (FSR/T) for recurrent glioblastoma multiforme (RGBM). *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2001;51 S1:254.
67. Tatter SB. Recurrent glioma in adults. *Curr Treat Options Oncol* 2002; 3: 509-524.
68. Chan TA, Weingart JD, Parisi M, et al. Treatment of recurrent glioblastoma multiforme with GliaSite brachytherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2005; 64: 1133-1139.
69. Grosu AL, Weber WA, Franz M et al. Reirradiation of recurrent high-grade gliomas using amino acid PET (SPECT)/CT/MRI image fusion to determine gross tumor volume for stereotactic fractionated radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2005; article in press. available online 5 May 2005.
70. Glioma Meta-analysis Trialists (GMT) Group. Chemotherapy in adult high-grade glioma: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data from 12 randomized trials. *Lancet* 2002; 359: 1011-1018.
71. DeAngelis LM. Benefit of adjuvant chemotherapy in high-grade gliomas. *Semin Oncol* 2003; 30 S19:15-18.
72. Gilbert M, O'Neill A, Grossman S, et al. A phase II study of preradiation chemotherapy followed by external beam radiotherapy for the treated of patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme: An Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group study (E2393). *J Neuro Oncol* 2000;47:145-152.
73. Rao RD, Krishnan S, Fitch TR, et al. Phase II trial of Carmustine, Cisplatin, and oral Etoposide chemotherapy before radiotherapy for grade 3 astrocytoma (anaplastic astrocytoma): results of North Central Cancer Treatment Group trial 98-72-51. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2005;61:380-386.
74. Athanassiou H, Synodinou M, Maragoudakis E, et al. Randomized phase II study of Temozolomide and radiotherapy compared with radiotherapy alone in newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; 23:2372-2377.



75. Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, et al. Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant Temozolomide for glioblastoma. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:987-996.
76. Vogelbaum MA. Convection enhanced delivery for the treatment of malignant gliomas:symposium review. *J Neuro Oncol* 2005; 73: 57-69.
77. Ino Y, Betensky RA, Zlatescu MC, et al. Molecular subtype of anaplastic oligodendroglioma: Implication for patient management at diagnosis. *Clin Cancer Res* 2001;7:839-845.
78. Macdonald DR. New frontiers in the treatment of malignant glioma. *Semin Oncol* 2003; 6 S19: 72-76.
79. Van Meir EG, Bellail A, Phuphanich S. Emerging molecular therapies for brain tumors. *Semin Oncol* 2004;31 S4:38-46.
80. Morokoff AP, Novak U. Targeted therapy for malignant gliomas. *J Clin Neuroscience* 2004; 11:807-818.
81. Selkirk SM. Gene therapy in clinical medicine. *Postgrad. Med J* 2004;80:560-570.
82. Rogulski KR, Freytag SO, Zhang K, et al. In vivo antitumor activity of ONYX-015 is influenced by p53 status and is augmented by radiotherapy. *Cancer Res* 2000;60:1193-1196.
83. Shah AC, Benos D, Gillespie GY, Markert JM. Oncolytic viruses: clinical applications as vectors for the treatment of malignant gliomas. *J Neuro Oncol* 2003; 65: 203-226.
84. Jaganathan J, Petit JH, Lazio BE, Singh SK, Chin LS. Tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand-mediated apoptosis in established and primary glioma cell lines. *Neurosurg Focus* 2002;13:ecl.
85. Puduvalli VK, Sampath D, Bruner JM, et al. TRAIL-induced apoptosis in gliomas is enhanced by Akt-inhibition and is independent of JNK activation. *Apoptosis* 2005;10:233-243.
86. Yu JS, Wheeler CJ, Zelter PM, et al. Vaccination of malignant glioma patients with peptide-pulsed dendritic cells elicits systemic cytotoxicity and intracranial T-cell infiltration. *Cancer Res* 2001;61:842-847.
87. Kew Y, Levin VA. Advances in gene therapy and immunotherapy for brain tumors. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2003;16:665-670.