ASEAN Movement in Radiology

Multidisciplinary working group for interstitial lung disease in Thailand: Part 1- rationale in developing a guide to estimate the global disease and fibrotic extents on high-resolution computed tomography

Wiwatana Tanomkiat, *M.D.*⁽¹⁾ Chayanin Nitiwarangkul, M.D.⁽²⁾ Juntima Euathrongchit, M.D.⁽³⁾ Phakphoom Thiravit, M.D.⁽⁴⁾ Thanisa Tongbai, M.D.⁽⁵⁾ *Thitiporn Suwatanapongched*, M.D.⁽²⁾ From ⁽¹⁾ Department of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Prince of Songkla University, Songkla, Thailand. ⁽²⁾Department of Diagnostic and Therapeutic Radiology, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand. ⁽³⁾Department of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Chiangmai University, Chiangmai, Thailand. ⁽⁴⁾Department of Radiology, Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand. ⁽⁵⁾Department of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand. Address correspondence to C.N. (email: chayanin.ntw@gmail.com)

Received 17 December 2021 ; accepted 19 December 2021 doi:10.46475/aseanjr.v22i3.158

Keywords: Interstitial lung disease, Fibrotic extent, Disease extent, Multidisciplinary discussion, Thailand.

Introduction

Interstitial lung diseases (ILDs) comprise a diverse group of diffuse lung parenchymal disorders, which are classified together due to similar clinical, radiologic, physiologic, or pathologic manifestations (Table 1) [1]. As shown in Table 1, most ILDs are caused by either known or unknown pathogens and are usually chronic. These include idiopathic interstitial pneumonias (IIPs; particularly idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) and idiopathic nonspecific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP)), connective tissue disease-associated ILDs (CTD-ILDs), chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis, familial pulmonary fibrosis, sarcoidosis, and other exposure-related diseases. Based on previous literature [2-5], the two most common ILDs in Western countries are idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) and sarcoidosis, while the two most common ones in Asia are CTD-ILDs and IPF.

Table 1. Clinical classification of diffuse lung parenchymal disorders [1].

ILDs can be suspected or diagnosed based on clinical and radiologic manifestations. The patients with ILD often present with dyspnea at rest or on exertion and has abnormal lung sounds on lung auscultation [6]. The two main physiologic changes on pulmonary function tests are restrictive pulmonary defect and decreased carbon monoxide diffusion capacity [7]. Chest radiography remains the most commonly performed primary imaging tool. However, the low sensitivity and specificity hinder its use [6]. Hence, high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) has become a cornerstone for evaluating the morphology and distribution and diagnosing various ILDs [6].

At times, a confident diagnosis of several ILD entities can be made when patients have typical clinical and imaging findings, for example, usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP), lymphangitic carcinomatosis, lymphangioleiomyomatosis, Langerhans cell histiocytosis, pulmonary alveolar proteinosis, subacute hypersensitivity pneumonitis, asbestosis, and pulmonary edema [8]. The diagnosis of CTD-ILDs usually relies on clinical, physiologic, and radiologic data to define the presence of the disease, determine the clinical course, and assess the prognosis of the lung involvement [9].

Despite advances in knowledge, a significant proportion of ILDs remains complex and challenging to diagnose. Various ILDs often share common histological features and pathological mechanisms regardless of their causes. When the specific diagnosis cannot be entertained based on clinical, physiologic, and radiologic data, surgical lung biopsy (SLB), a gold standard tool for ILD diagnosis, may be required [10]. However, the procedure carries a high risk, especially in old or frail patients. The 30-day mortality is approximately 2.4% [11], comparable to the 2.3% 30-day mortality of lobectomy [12]. Hence, SLB is not a commonly performed procedure. As shown in previous studies, SLBs were performed in 1.8% in Indian National Registry [3], 8% in the previous study from a single center in Singapore [4], and less than 1% in the study from a single center in Southern Thailand [5]. Furthermore, SLBs are rarely performed in CTD-ILDs because there are no pathognomonic pathologic findings in CTD-ILDs, and the usefulness of a biopsy in predicting prognosis and management decisions is controversial. There is a tendency to treat all CTD patients with immunosuppressive therapies if they develop progressive clinical impairment or ILD on HRCT regardless of the histopathologic findings [8].

Due to inadequate pathologic data, a proportion ILDs remain unclassifiable because of insufficient data or significant discordance among the clinical, radiological or pathological findings. A specific diagnosis of ILD requires a multidisciplinary discussion (MDD), which has generally been accepted as the current diagnostic process for ILDs in many societies [13-15] since its introduction in 2004 [16]. The dynamic interaction and information exchange between physicians (pulmonologists and rheumatologists), radiologists, and pathologists help improve the diagnosis and management of ILD patients.

Progressive fibrosing interstitial lung disease (PF-ILD)

A proportion of ILD patients can have a progressive fibrosing clinical phenotype, termed progressive fibrosing interstitial lung disease (PF-ILD). PF-ILD is characterized by symptom worsening, a decline in lung function, and an increase to the extent of fibrosis on HRCT, which eventually leads to decreased quality of life and early death despite current therapy [17]. PF-ILD can also occur in patients with IPF, CTD-ILD, chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis, familial pulmonary fibrosis, and unclassifiable ILDs.

Among IIPs, IPF is the most common and likely to have the worst prognosis [13,18]. Various studies [19-20], including a retrospective study from a single center in Southern Thailand [5], have reported that the survival time of patients with IPF was the shortest, while that of unclassifiable ILD cases was between that of the IPF and non-IPF patients. There is a guideline for the diagnosis and

management of IPF providing levels of certainty for patterns of UIP based on HRCT and pathologic findings. The presence of the UIP pattern on HRCT in patients with clinically suspected IPF could guide a diagnosis if they are not subjected to SLB [10].

Previous studies [21-24] have found that antifibrotic therapies effectively slow down disease progression when lung fibrosis has become progressive. These included nintedanib and pirfenidone in IPF [21, 22] and nintedanib in systemic sclerosis-associated ILD (SSc-ILD) [23, 24]. In Thailand, nintedanib has been approved to treat patients with IPF diagnosed by MDD in 2016 and patients with SSc-ILD diagnosed by MDD, who has the fibrotic extent of more than 10% on HRCT and with PF-ILD feature in 2020. Moreover, the Thoracic Society of Thailand under Royal Patronage, the Thai Rheumatism Association, and the Thai Society of Pediatrics Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine recommend immunosuppressive therapies in SSc-ILD patients with the disease extent of more than 20% on HRCT.

A multidisciplinary working group in Thailand

At present, a clear definition of the ILD severity and fibrotic extent has become mandatory for the diagnosis, management, and treatment of patients with PF-ILDs. To facilitate communication among members and practitioners regarding the disease severity and fibrotic extent appearing on HRCT, the Royal College of Radiologists of Thailand and the Foundation for Orphan and Rare Lung Disease invited Thai thoracic radiologists and physicians (pulmonologists and rheumatologists) (Figure 1) to discuss the proposed method for estimation of ILD extent on December 3rd, 2021. THE ASEAN JOURNAL OF RADIOLOGY ISSN 2672-9393

Tanomkiat W., et al. ASEAN J Radiol 2021; 22(3) : 47-60



Figure 1. Multidisciplinary working group on global disease and fibrotic extents on HRCT on December 3rd, 2021.

Participant list:

- 1. Wiwatana Tanomkiat, M.D.
- 2. Sitang Nirattisaikul, M.D.
- 3. Nantaka Kiranantawat, M.D.
- 4. Thitiporn Suwatanapongched, M.D.
- 5. Warawut Sukkasem, M.D.
- 6. Chayanin Nitiwarangkul, M.D.
- 7. Thanisa Tongbai, M.D.

Songklanagarind Hospital, Prince of Songkla University Songklanagarind Hospital, Prince of Songkla University Songklanagarind Hospital, Prince of Songkla University Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Chulalongkorn University



| 8. Nitra Piyavisetpat, M.D. | King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Chulalongkorn University | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 9. Wariya Chintanapakdee, M.D. | King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Chulalongkorn University | | |
| 10. Itthi Itthisawatpan, M.D. | King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Chulalongkorn University | | |
| 11. Amornpun Wongkarnjana, M.D. | King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Chulalongkorn University | | |
| 12. Juntima Euathrongchit, M.D. | Maharaj Nakorn Chiang Mai Hospital, Chiang Mai University | | |
| 13. Yuttaphan Wannasopha, M.D. | Maharaj Nakorn Chiang Mai Hospital, Chiang Mai University | | |
| 14. Phakphoom Thiravit, M.D. | Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University | | |
| 15. Suwimon Wonglaksanapimon, M.D. | Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University | | |
| 16. Pailin Ratanawatkul, M.D. | Srinagarind Hospital, Khon Kean University | | |
| 17. Sumapa Chaiamnuay, M.D. | Phramongkutklao Hospital, Royal Thai Army Medical Department, Ministry of Defence | | |
| 18. Krisna Dissaneevate, M.D. | Rajavithi Hospital, Department of Medical Services, Ministry of Public Health | | |
| 19. Sutarat Tungsagunwattana, M.D. | Central Chest Institute of Thailand, Department of Medical Services, Ministry of Public Health | | |
| 20. Sunpob Cheewadhanaraks, M.D. | Songklanagarind Hospital, Prince of Songkla University | | |
| 21. Thunyarat Wiwattanapusit, M.D. | Songklanagarind Hospital, Prince of Songkla University | | |
| 22. Natnicha Thet-asen, M.D. | Songklanagarind Hospital, Prince of Songkla University | | |
| 23. Laksika Bhuthathorn, M.D. | Songklanagarind Hospital, Prince of Songkla University | | |
| 24. Wethaka Kritcharoen, M.D. | Songklanagarind Hospital, Prince of Songkla University | | |
| 25. Thitithep Suriyamonthon, M.D. | Songklanagarind Hospital, Prince of Songkla University | | |
| | | | |

Volume XXII Number III September-December 2021 53

Our working group agreed that the ILDs should be estimated regarding all radiological features related to ILDs found on HRCT. These mainly include coarse reticulation, honeycombing, and traction bronchiectasis, considering the essential HRCT features of the UIP pattern since they are well correlated with fibrosis at histology and associated with increased mortality and poor prognosis [25-30]. Other CT findings suggesting non-ILD findings, such as cancer, pneumonia, or aspiration, are excluded from the estimation.

The extent of HRCT findings in each level can be determined by visually estimating the percentage to the nearest 5% parenchymal involvement. Minor interobserver variation or more reproducibility in estimation can be achieved by drawing a horizontal line on each level, leaving a measurable area of 50%, and then a second line, which runs perpendicularly to the horizontal one leaving a 25% assessable area of each lung. Each 25% is subdivided into five portions, corresponding to an area of 5% each [31] (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Extent of disease assessment on HRCT using estimated to the nearest 5% method. This figure represents a cut of HRCT of a patient with SSc, at the level of the main carina. A drawing a horizontal line; leaving a measurable area of 50%, and then a second line, which runs perpendicularly to the horizontal line; leaving a 25% assessable area of each lung. Each 25% is subdivided into five portions, corresponding to an area of 5% each.

Estimation of the global disease and fibrotic extents of ILD in both lungs can be quantified by averaging the summation of all three or five chosen HRCT levels previously described in the literature [29, 32]. The three-level method included the three selected HRCT levels from the upper, middle, and lower lung zones [32] (Figure 3). The five-level method included the HRCT levels at 1) the origin of

the great vessels; 2) the main carina; 3) the pulmonary venous confluence; 4) the halfway between levels 3 and 5; 5) immediately above the right hemidiaphragm dome [29]. However, the anatomical level defined in these methods, particularly the three-level method, is deemed unclear about which level to be assessed.

| Estimation of ILD extent | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Level | Right lung (%) | Left lung (%) | Sum at each level (%) |
| Level 1 in upper zone | 5% | 10% | 15% |
| Level 2 in middle zone | 0% | 5% | 5% |
| Level 3 in lower zone | 5% | 5% | 10% |
| Sum % (of all 3 levels or 6 areas) | 30% | | |
| Average extent | 5% | | |
| (Sum% /6) | | | |

Figure 3. Estimation of ILD extent.

An example of a table demonstrates a calculation technique regarding the three-level method. Each side of HRCT level, the right and left lungs, was separately scored to the nearest 5%. The extent of disease (either global disease extent or fibrotic extent) is derived from the summation of all chosen HRCT levels divided by a number of areas of the lungs (total of 6 selected areas).

Noteworthy, many ILDs, especially IPF and SSc-ILD, are basal predominant. Moreover, patients with early ILD often have interstitial abnormalities confined to lung bases, specifically below the right hemidiaphragm dome. Hence, the global disease and fibrotic extent can be underestimated.

Since there are no clear description of how the level should be selected and the potentially inadequate inclusion of ILD extent, we have proposed the six-level method by modifying the original five-level method and adding level 6 (2-3 cm above the posterior costophrenic angle). The details regarding the six-level method will be further discussed in the upcoming article in the following journal issue. Nevertheless, the proposed method requires further validation to confirm its usefulness in evaluating ILDs in routine clinical practice. At present, the methods for estimating the ILD extent can still be based on personal preference.

References

- 1. Cosgrove GP, Schwarz MI. Approach to the evaluation and diagnosis of interstitial lung disease. In: Schwarz MI, King TE Jr., editors. Interstitial lung disease. 5th ed. Shelton (CT): People's Medical Pub. House; 2011. p. 3-33.
- 2. Rivera-Ortega P, Molina-Molina M. Interstitial lung diseases in developing countries. Ann Glob Health 2019;85:1-14. doi: 10.5334/aogh.2414.
- 3. Singh S, Collins BF, Sharma BB, Joshi JM, Talwar D, Katiyar S, et al. Interstitial lung disease in India: results of a prospective registry. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2017;195:801-13. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201607-1484OC.
- 4. Chai GT, Tan TC, Lee YS, Kaw GJ, Chuah KL, Lim YJ, et al. Impact of an interstitial lung disease service in the diagnosis and management of interstitial lung disease in Singapore. Singapore Med J 2020;61:302-7. doi: 10.11622/smedj.2019069.
- 5. Tanomkiat W, Areewattana N, Juthong S, Navaskulpong A, Siripaitoon B, Sarayut LG. High-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) disease patterns and survival times in patients with interstitial lung disease at a university tertiary hospital in southern Thailand from 2006 to 2012. Asian J Med Radiol Res. Forthcoming 2022.
- 6. Wallis A, Spinks K. The diagnosis and management of interstitial lung diseases. BMJ 2015;350:h2072. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h2072.
- Baydur A. Pulmonary physiology in interstitial lung disease: recent developments in diagnostic and prognostic implications. Curr Opin Pulm Med 1996;2:370-5. doi: 10.1097/00063198-199609000-00005.
- 8. Lynch DA. Radiologic differential diagnosis of diffuse lung disease. In: Lynch DA, Newell DJ Jr, Lee JS, editors. Imaging of diffuse lung disease. Hamilton (Ontario): B.C. Decker; 2000: p. 35-56.



- 9. Mira-Avendano I, Abril A, Burger CD, Dellaripa PF, Fischer A, Gotway MB, et al. Interstitial lung disease and other pulmonary manifestations in connective tissue diseases. Mayo Clin Proc 2019;94:309-25. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2018.09.002.
- Raghu G, Remy-Jardin M, Myers JL, Richeldi L, Ryerson CJ, Lederer DJ, et al. Diagnosis of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. an official ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT clinical practice guideline. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018;198:e44-68. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201807-1255ST.
- Hutchinson JP, McKeever TM, Fogarty AW, Navaratnam V, Hubbard RB. Surgical lung biopsy for the diagnosis of interstitial lung disease in England: 1997-2008. Eur Respir J 2016;48:1453-61. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00378-2016.
- 12. Powell HA, Tata LJ, Baldwin DR, Stanley RA, Khakwani A, Hubbard RB. Early mortality after surgical resection for lung cancer: an analysis of the English National Lung cancer audit. Thorax 2013;68:826-34. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2012-203123.
- 13. Travis WD, Costabel U, Hansell DM, King TE Jr, Lynch DA, Nicholson AG, et al. An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: update of the international multidisciplinary classification of interstitial pneumonias. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013;188:733–48. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201308-1483ST.
- 14. Lynch DA, Sverzellati N, Travis WD, Brown KK, Colby TV, Galvin JR, et al. Diagnostic criteria for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a Fleischner Society White Paper. Lancet Respir Med 2018;6:138–53. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(17)30433-2.
- 15. Prasad JD, Mahar A, Bleasel J, Ellis SJ, Chambers DC, Lake F, et al. The interstitial lung disease multidisciplinary meeting: a position statement from the Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand and the Lung Foundation Australia. Respirology 2017;22:1459–72. doi: 10.1111/resp.13163.

- 16. Flaherty KR, King TE Jr, Raghu G, Lynch JP 3rd, Colby TV, Travis WD, et al. Idiopathic interstitial pneumonia: what is the effect of a multidisciplinary approach to diagnosis? Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2004;170:904-10. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200402-147OC.
- 17. Wijsenbeek M, Kreuter M, Olson A, Fischer A, Bendstrup E, Wells CD, et al. Progressive fibrosing interstitial lung diseases: current practice in diagnosis and management. Curr Med Res Opin 2019;35:2015-24. doi: 10.1080/03007995.2019.1647040.
- Kim DS, Collard HR, King TE Jr. Classification and natural history of the idiopathic interstitial pneumonias. Proc Am Thorac Soc 2006;3:285-92. doi: 10.1513/pats.200601-005TK.
- 19. Ryerson CJ, Urbania TH, Richeldi L, Mooney JJ, Lee JS, Jones KD, et al. Prevalence and prognosis of unclassifiable interstitial lung disease. Eur Respir J 2013;42:750-7. doi: 10.1183/09031936.00131912.
- 20. Traila D, Oancea C, Tudorache E, Mladinescu OF, Timar B, Tudorache V. Clinical profile of unclassifiable interstitial lung disease: comparison with chronic fibrosing idiopathic interstitial pneumonias. J Int Med Res 2018;46:448-56. doi: 10.1177/0300060517719767.
- 21. King TE Jr, Bradford WZ, Castro-Bernardini S, Fagan EA, Glaspole I, Glassberg MK, et al. A phase 3 trial of pirfenidone in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. N Engl J Med 2014;370:2083-92. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1402582.
- 22. Richeldi L, du Bois RM, Raghu G, Azuma A, Brown KK, Costabel U, et al. Efficacy and safety of nintedanib in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. N Engl J Med 2014;370:2071-82. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1402584.

- 23. Distler O, Highland KB, Gahlemann M, Azuma A, Fischer A, Mayes MD, et al. Nintedanib for systemic sclerosis–associated interstitial lung disease. N Engl J Med 2019;380:2518-28. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1903076.
- 24. Wells AU, Flaherty KR, Brown KK, Inoue Y, Devaraj A, Richeldi L, et al. Nintedanib in patients with progressive fibrosing interstitial lung diseases -subgroup analyses by interstitial lung disease diagnosis in the INBUILD trial: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial. Lancet Respir Med 2020;8: 453-60. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30036-9.
- 25. Ryerson CJ, Vittinghoff E, Ley B, Lee JS, Mooney JJ, Jones KD, et al. Predicting survival across chronic interstitial lung disease: the ILD-GAP model. Chest 2014;145:723–8. doi: 10.1378/chest.13-1474.
- 26. Flaherty KR, Thwaite EL, Kazerooni EA, Gross BH, Toews GB, Colby TV, et al. Radiological versus histological diagnosis in UIP and NSIP: survival implications. Thorax 2003;58:143–8. doi: 10.1136/thorax.58.2.143.
- 27. Kim EJ, Elicker BM, Maldonado F, Webb WR, Ryu JH, Van Uden JH, et al. Usual interstitial pneumonia in rheumatoid arthritis-associated interstitial lung disease. Eur Respir J 2010;35:1322–28. doi: 10.1183/09031936.00092309.
- 28. Oldham JM, Adegunsoye A, Valenzi E, Lee C, Witt L, Chen L, et al. Characterisation of patients with interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune features. Eur Respir J 2016;47:1767–75. doi: 10.1183/13993003.01565-2015.
- 29. Goh NS, Desai SR, Veeraraghavan S, Hansell DM, Copley SJ, Maher TM, et al. Interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis: a simple staging system. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008;177:1248-54. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200706-877OC.
- 30. Morisset J, Vittinghoff E, Lee BY, Tonelli R, Hu X, Elicker BM, et al. The performance of the GAP model in patients with rheumatoid arthritis associated interstitial lung disease. Respir Med 2017;127:51-6. doi: 10.1016/j. rmed.2017.04.012.

THE ASEAN JOURNAL OF RADIOLOGY ISSN 2672-9393

- 31. Sánchez RP, Fernández-Fabrellas E, Samper GJ, Montañana MLD, Vilar LN. Visual HRCT score to determine severity and prognosis of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Int J Respir Pulm Med 2018;5:084. doi.org/10.23937/2378-3516/1410084.
- 32. Kazerooni EA, Martinez FJ, Flint A, Jamadar DA, Gross BH, Spizarny DL, et al. Thin-section CT obtained at I 0-mm increments versus limited three-level thin-section CT for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: correlation with pathologic scoring. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1997;169:977-83. doi: 10.2214/ajr.169.4.9308447.

